
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,951	BROWN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2192
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed 11/14/05</u>		
(1) <u>Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen Terrile, Reg. No. 32,946.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2-8-06	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Non-statutory double patenting over Aplication No. 09/898,876, a notice of allowability of which mailed on 2/9/06		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 19		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
11		
Homen Centony agreeaBe		
(Éxaminer/8PE Signature – if appropriate)		



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Requested a copy of the Terminal Disclaimer referenced in Applicants' Response filed on June 13, 2005 be retransmitted; Suggested following claim amendments be considered to place application in condition for allowance: 1+4+5; 6+subject matter claimed in claims 4 and 5; 7+10+11; 12+15+16; 17+20+21; 19 (lack of antecedent basis); and Requested Applicants fax in a copy of formal amendment